

1 **9.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS, ISSUES AND COMMITMENTS (INCLUDING** 2 **MITIGATION)**

3 This chapter summarizes the environmental permits, issues and commitments, including mitigation
4 commitments, that have been identified thus far as being applicable to the Red Alternative (Preferred).
5 Conceptual mitigation identified in this section will be finalized as the project progresses and
6 documented in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision (ROD).
7 Additional commitments may be added through coordination with Cooperating and Participating
8 agencies and the public, and these would be finalized and documented in the Final EIS and ROD as
9 applicable.

10

11 **9.1 DESIGN COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS**

12 The project will include a 10-foot shared-use path for bicyclists and pedestrians to cross the Inner
13 Harbor. Design coordination with the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the City of Corpus
14 Christi is required with respect to the accommodation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities into the final
15 design of the project, including any requirements for signage or striping.

16

17 Design coordination with the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) is required with respect to the
18 accommodation of bus routes or bus stops into the final design of the project, as needed, including any
19 requirements for signage or striping.

20

21 Design coordination with the Union Pacific Railroad and the Port of Corpus Christi Authority is required
22 with respect to the accommodation of rail crossings into the final design of the project.

23

24 Design coordination with the City of Corpus Christi's Storm Water Management Department is required
25 with respect to the accommodation of existing storm sewer infrastructure into the final design of the
26 project.

27

28 Design coordination with public and private utility owners is required with respect to the
29 accommodation of existing overhead and underground utilities into the final design of the project.
30 Utility relocations and adjustment would be accomplished with the minimum practicable disruption in
31 service to customers.

32

33 **9.2 DISPLACEMENTS**

34 To ensure that decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings would be available to all displaced persons, the Texas
35 Department of Transportation's (TxDOT) right of way acquisition and relocation assistance program
36 (RAP) (see **Appendix L**) would be conducted in accordance with the federal Uniform Relocation
37 Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (P.L. 91-646). Relocation
38 resources would be made available to all eligible displaced residents, including tenants, without

1 discrimination, consistent with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Housing and
2 Urban Development Act of 1974.

3
4 For those tenants qualifying for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Annual
5 Survey of Income Limits for the Public Housing and Section 8 Programs, considerations for Housing and
6 Urban Development (HUD) Section 8 Existing Housing Certificates or Housing Vouchers will be offered
7 through the TxDOT Rental Assistance program.

8
9 If comparable housing is not available at the time of right of way acquisition, TxDOT would provide the
10 required housing or, if necessary, provide housing supplement payments in excess of the standard
11 payment limits to ensure that decent, safe and sanitary dwellings are made available to all eligible
12 persons displaced by the proposed project.

13
14 TxDOT would coordinate with the City of Corpus Christi's Neighborhood Services Department with
15 respect to the accommodation of displaced residents of the North Side Manor Apartments, as well as
16 residents of other apartment units displaced by the project, at The Palms on Leopard.

17
18 TxDOT would assist displaced business owners and tenants by reimbursing reasonable moving costs,
19 personal property losses, expenses in finding a replacement, and expenses in reestablishing the business
20 TxDOT would offer relocation counseling to employees of displaced businesses to minimize economic
21 harm and provide information as to possible sources of funding and assistance from other local, state,
22 and federal agencies.

23
24 TxDOT would work with the Workforce Solutions of the Coastal Bend to ensure that displaced
25 employees are aware of offerings including career development information, job search resources, and
26 training programs.

27 28 **9.3 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE**

29 The proposed project would include goals for disadvantaged and historically underutilized businesses to
30 participate in the construction, and TxDOT will require the contractor to comply with these goals as part
31 of its administration of the construction contract.

32
33 In an effort to minimize the visual and aesthetic impacts of the proposed build alternatives on minority
34 and low-income neighborhoods, future public involvement efforts will allow the public, including
35 neighborhood residents, the opportunity to participate in the process regarding the aesthetics of the
36 proposed project. Elements to be discussed could include aesthetic treatments, landscaping and
37 lighting.

38
39 TxDOT and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) would provide financial support to grant
40 funding programs already available through local non-profit community organizations and possibly the
41 City of Corpus Christi. The funding could be allocated for initiatives that improve infrastructure in the

1 Northside community and provide more transportation options for residents. This could include
2 additional bicycle and pedestrian improvements, ride sharing and similar goals.

3
4 TxDOT and FHWA would continue to work within the Partnership for Sustainable Communities with two
5 of its Cooperating agencies, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and HUD, as well as the City of
6 Corpus Christi, the Port of Corpus Christi Authority and the Regional Transportation Authority to
7 advance livability initiatives in the Northside community. Initiatives to pursue would include, but not be
8 limited to:

- 9
- 10 • Improving Northside residential streets connecting to the SEA (Sports, Entertainment and Arts)
11 District and the downtown area;
 - 12 • Addition of street lights and other practicable aesthetic treatments within the Northside
13 neighborhoods;
 - 14 • Improvements to provide more transportation choices, including bicycle and pedestrian routes
15 and transit;
 - 16 • Providing preferential consideration for applicants to the proposed Palms at Leopard affordable
17 housing development for persons displaced from the North Side Manor apartments as well as
18 other displaced persons from the Northside community;
 - 19 • Coordinating with HUD to identify opportunities to increase the availability of affordable
20 housing in the community; and
 - 21 • Promoting the use of disadvantaged and historically underutilized businesses in the construction
22 of the project.

23
24 TxDOT and FHWA will pursue a relationship with Team-EJ (Environmental Justice), a working group
25 created by the Partnership focused on the connections between environmental justice and sustainable
26 communities.

27
28 TxDOT would work closely with the MPO regarding the application of available federal transportation
29 funding for local projects to maximize the use of those funds for infrastructure improvements in
30 minority and low-income communities affected by the proposed project.

31 32 **9.4 CHILDREN'S HEALTH**

33 Provisions will be included in project plans and specifications requiring contractors to make every
34 reasonable effort to minimize construction air quality impacts through abatement measures such as
35 limiting construction equipment idling and other emission limitation techniques, as appropriate.

36
37 The contractor would follow TxDOT's Standard Specifications, which would include provisions to protect
38 the health and safety of persons in the proximity of construction and staging sites.

39
40 Lead and asbestos testing would be conducted prior to demolition to ensure that these materials are
41 handled appropriately.

1
2 TxDOT would ensure that hazardous materials sites are avoided where practicable or sufficiently
3 remediated so that the public would not be exposed to health risk.

5 **9.5 AIR QUALITY IMPACTS**

6 Particulate matter emissions will be minimized by using fugitive dust control measures such as covering
7 or treating disturbed areas with dust suppression techniques, sprinkling, covering loaded trucks, and
8 other dust abatement controls, as appropriate.

9
10 Construction-related Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSAT) emissions will be minimized through the use of
11 low emission diesel fuel for non-road diesel construction equipment operated in Nueces County.

13 **9.6 TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS**

14 Two noise barriers are proposed for incorporation into the final design of the project, as presented in
15 **Table 9.6-1**. TxDOT will hold public noise workshops with owners of property adjacent to the proposed
16 barriers and allow the property owners to vote as to whether to construct the barrier.

Barrier	Representative Receivers	Total No. Benefited	Length (feet)	Height (feet)	Total Cost	\$/Benefited Receiver	Reasonable & Feasible
B	R72	9	524	13	\$122,616	\$13,624	Yes
D	R89-R91	18	1,368	15	\$367,516	\$20,418	Yes

18 Source: US 181 Harbor Bridge EIS Team 2013

19
20 Provisions would be included in the construction plans and specifications that require the contractor to
21 make every reasonable effort to minimize construction noise through abatement measures such as
22 work-hour controls and proper maintenance of muffler systems.

24 **9.7 WATER RESOURCES IMPACTS**

25 Construction of any of the build alternatives would require authorization under the Texas Commission
26 on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Construction General Permit (CGP), TXR150000 as a Large Construction
27 Activity. The proposed project would be eligible for authorization under the CGP for discharges to
28 impaired surface waters, if applicable at the time of construction, provided the project and associated
29 activities are implemented, operated, and maintained in a manner that is consistent with the approved
30 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and TMDL Implementation Plan for Nueces Bay, and if applicable, a
31 TMDL for Corpus Christi Bay Beaches.

32
33 A Notice of Intent (NOI) to discharge storm water from a Large Construction Activity would be submitted
34 to the TCEQ in order to obtain authorization to discharge under the CGP, and the NOI as well as a
35 Construction Site Notice would be posted on the project site per CGP requirements. TxDOT and/or the

1 contractor would provide operators of MS4s that would receive storm water discharges a copy of the
2 NOI prior to commencement of construction.

3
4 TxDOT and/or the contractor would prepare and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
5 (SW3P) describing the measures to be used to minimize pollutants in construction storm water
6 discharges. Temporary erosion and sediment control Best Management Practices (BMP) would be
7 designed, put in place and maintained throughout the construction phase, as required by the CGP and
8 by TxDOT Construction Specifications.

9
10 In order to maintain compliance with the Coastal Management Program (CMP), the project would
11 require a consistency determination from the Texas General Land Office (GLO). This determination
12 would be obtained and coordination would occur during the Section 404 and Coast Guard bridge
13 permitting processes.

14
15 To ensure consistency with the CMP, construction and maintenance of the proposed project would
16 comply with the following policies:

- 17
18 1. Pollution prevention procedures would be incorporated into the construction and maintenance
19 of the proposed project to minimize pollutant loading to coastal waters from storm water
20 runoff, erosion and sedimentation, and use of pesticides and herbicides for maintenance of the
21 right of way.
- 22 2. The proposed project would, to the greatest extent practicable, avoid and otherwise minimize
23 the potential for adverse effects from construction and maintenance of the bridge, additional
24 roads, and other development associated with the project.
- 25 3. The proposed project would, to the greatest extent practicable, avoid and otherwise minimize
26 the potential for adverse effects from direct release of pollutants from oil or hazardous
27 substance spills, contaminated sediments or storm water runoff to CNRAs through the
28 implementation of permanent BMPs to be determined during the final design phase.
- 29 4. Where practicable, the proposed project would be located in existing rights of way or previously
30 disturbed areas to avoid or minimize adverse effects.
- 31 5. The proposed project would be located in an area where, to the greatest extent practicable,
32 future expansion would not require development in coastal wetlands except where such
33 construction is determined to be essential for evacuation in the case of a natural disaster.
- 34 6. Construction and maintenance of the proposed project would, to the greatest extent
35 practicable, avoid the impoundment and draining of coastal wetlands. Where impoundment or
36 draining cannot be avoided, compensatory mitigation would be implemented to mitigate
37 adverse effects to the impounded or drained wetlands.
- 38 7. Construction of the proposed project would, to the greatest extent practicable, occur in areas
39 and times selected to have the least adverse effects on recreational uses of CNRAs and on
40 spawning or nesting seasons or seasonal migrations of terrestrial or aquatic species.
- 41

1 A bridge permit application packet would be prepared and submitted to the USCG to obtain a bridge
2 permit for the construction of the proposed project.

3
4 During the construction and demolition phases of the proposed project, a regulated navigation area
5 (RNA) would be established within the Inner Harbor to protect individuals and vessels from potential
6 safety hazards and allow for safe and orderly movements through the area. Vessels would not be
7 allowed to enter this area during certain times throughout these phases. Additionally, vessels would be
8 required to proceed at a minimum safe speed in the RNA and would not be allowed to meet or pass.

9
10 The project would be designed for consistency with local National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
11 standards, per 23 CFR 650.115. The project would be in accordance with current FHWA and TxDOT
12 design policies and flooding attributable to an encroachment resulting from the project would not
13 increase the base flood elevation to a level that would violate applicable floodplain regulations and
14 ordinances. Bridges in regulatory floodplains would be designed to accommodate a 100-year flood in
15 accordance with Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and local floodplain ordinance
16 criteria.

17
18 The City of Corpus Christi and Nueces County are participants in the NFIP, coordination with the local
19 Floodplain Administrators is required.

20

21 **9.8 WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S.**

22 Coordination with the Galveston District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) will be conducted,
23 as needed, regarding Section 404 permit requirements, including a pre-construction notification and
24 compensatory mitigation.

25

26 TxDOT specifications for revegetation, erosion/sedimentation control, and other restoration will be
27 employed during and after the construction phase.

28

29 Compliance with the Texas Water Quality Certification will be accomplished by implementation of TCEQ-
30 approved BMPs for erosion control, sediment control, and post-construction total suspended solids
31 (TSS) control. The SW3P required for the proposed project and project design would include at least
32 one BMP from the 401 Water Quality Certification Conditions for Nationwide Permits. These BMPs
33 would address each of the following categories: 1) erosion control, 2) sedimentation control, and 3) post
34 construction TSS control.

35

36 **9.9 VEGETATION IMPACTS**

37 Disturbed areas would be restored and reseeded according to the TxDOT specifications.

38

39 Impacts during construction or construction-staging activities would be avoided or minimized by limiting
40 disturbance to only that which is necessary to construct the proposed project.

1
2 Care would be taken to prevent the introduction of invasive species during construction.

3
4 Upon completion of earthwork operations, disturbed areas would be restored and reseeded in
5 accordance with TxDOT's Vegetation Management Guidelines and in compliance with the intent of the
6 FHWA Executive Memorandum on Environmentally and Economically Beneficial Landscape Practices.

7 8 **9.10 WILDLIFE IMPACTS INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES**

9 Construction phase activities for the proposed project would directly or indirectly affect most wildlife
10 species present. The use of BMPs, careful vegetation clearing techniques, and replanting would
11 minimize impacts to wildlife habitat within the proposed project area. Monitoring before and during
12 construction activities would protect wildlife species, including nesting birds, from direct harm.
13 Adjacent wildlife habitat would be protected from storm water runoff by implementing BMPs that
14 would control erosion, post construction TSS, and sedimentation.

15 16 **9.10.1 Threatened and Endangered Species**

17 Potential habitat for eight federally-listed threatened or endangered species and one candidate species
18 occurs within the project area for all of the alternatives; these species include the Atlantic hawksbill sea
19 turtle (LE), Green sea turtle (LE), Kemp's Ridley sea turtle (LE), Leatherback sea turtle (LE), Loggerhead
20 sea turtle (LT), West Indian manatee (LE), Whooping Crane (LE), Red Knot (C), and Piping Plover (LT).

21
22 To avoid and minimize impacts to protected sea turtles and the West Indian manatee, the following will
23 be implemented during construction and demolition activities:

- 24
25 1. Training would be provided on avoiding potential impacts on the sea turtles and manatee for all
26 personnel involved in construction or demolition of the bridge.
- 27 2. The training information would advise contractors and staff that sea turtles and manatees may
28 be found in the Rincon Channel and Inner Harbor.
- 29 3. The training materials would include a poster and/or photographs in a book to be carried onsite
30 to assist in identifying these species.
- 31 4. The training materials would instruct personnel not to feed or water the manatee
- 32 5. The training materials would include instructions to call the Corpus Christi Ecological Services
33 Field Office (CCESFO) in the event a manatee is sighted in or near the project area.
- 34 6. Qualified biologists would monitor the presence of sea turtles and manatees during all phases of
35 construction and demolition within open waters of the project area.
- 36 7. Before construction or demolition commences, a preliminary impact zone would be established,
37 delineated by a 50-foot radius from the work area if that impact zone would extend into the
38 water. If any sea turtle or manatee were to be observed within the appropriate impact zone,
39 the biological monitor would instruct that construction or demolition activities cease until it
40 could be determined that the animal had moved beyond the impact zone radius, either through

1 sighting or by waiting until enough time has elapsed (approximately 15 minutes) to assume that
2 the animal has moved beyond the impact zone.

3
4 TxDOT and/or the contractor is required to avoid and minimize impacts to open water areas to the
5 extent practicable, including the Inner Harbor, during construction and demolition of the proposed
6 project. Regardless of the methods chosen to demolish the existing bridge, the contractor would not be
7 authorized to intentionally discharge pieces of the existing bridge, however small, into the Inner Harbor.
8 Incidental discharges shall be minimized to the extent practicable and measures to control these types
9 of discharges would be developed and implemented during all phases of construction and demolition
10 with the potential to impact aquatic habitats.

11
12 To prevent impacts to the Whooping Crane, Piping Plover and Red Knot the contractor is required to
13 conduct pre-construction surveys within the Rincon Channel tidal flats. If one of the bird species is
14 detected during pre-construction surveys, a USFWS approved biologist would monitor for presence of
15 the birds during all phases of construction.

16
17 Potential habitat for seven state-listed threatened species occurs within the project area for all of the
18 alternatives; these species include the Opossum pipefish, Peregrine Falcon, Reddish Egret, White-faced
19 Ibis, White-tailed Hawk, Wood Stork, and Southern yellow bat. During construction, efforts would be
20 made to avoid direct harm to individuals of state-listed or rare species; particularly those most
21 vulnerable to earth moving and de-watering activities. Specific notes would be inserted into the
22 construction plans that indicate the potential presence of these species and instruct the contractor to
23 avoid impacting them. The contractor would be briefed on the species appearance and habitat
24 preferences prior to construction and instructed to cease activities in the vicinity of the protected
25 species, if encountered, for a sufficient amount of time to enable escape or relocation.

26
27 To avoid and minimize impacts to aquatic species, waterways would be spanned whenever practicable
28 and appropriate BMPs put in place. When areas must be de-watered, the work site would be isolated to
29 prevent fish and other aquatic species from moving into the construction zone and work activities
30 conducted as quickly as possible to minimize the length of time that flow is modified or interrupted.
31 Prompt and effective erosion control and re-vegetation and restoration of flow lines and grades would
32 be employed to further minimize impacts. The contractor would return temporary work areas to pre-
33 project conditions as soon as practicable.

34 **9.10.2 Essential Fish Habitat**

35
36 Coordination with NMFS regarding Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultation requirements will be
37 addressed during the Section 404 permitting process.

1 **9.10.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act**

2 Appropriate measures would be taken to avoid adverse impacts on migratory birds and include the
3 following:

- 4
- 5 • Removing or destroying active migratory bird nests (nests containing eggs and/or young) at any
6 time of the year would be prohibited until the nests become inactive.
- 7 • If colonial nesting (for example, swallows) occurs on or in structures, nests would not be
8 removed until all nests in the colony become inactive. A qualified wildlife biologist would be
9 consulted to determine what constitutes a colony in the context of birds nesting on a bridge,
10 culvert or other structure and to examine nests for eggs or young as needed.
- 11 • Measures would be utilized, to the extent practicable, to prevent or discourage migratory birds
12 from building nests within portions of the project area scheduled for immediate construction or
13 demolition.
- 14 • Inactive nests would be removed from the project area to minimize the potential for reuse by
15 migratory birds.
- 16

17 When practicable, construction or demolition activities would be scheduled outside the typical nesting
18 season (February to October), noting that the prohibitive provisions of the MBTA apply year-round.

19

20 **9.10.4 Marine Mammal Protection Act**

21 To avoid and minimize potential incidental harassment of marine mammals, the contractor will
22 implement the following:

23

- 24 1. Qualified biologists will monitor the presence of marine mammals during all phases of
25 construction and demolition within open waters of the project area, including the Inner Harbor.
- 26 2. Before construction or demolition commences, a preliminary marine mammal impact zone
27 would be established, delineated by a 50-foot radius from the work area if that impact zone
28 would extend into the water. If any marine mammal were to be observed within the
29 appropriate impact zone, the biological monitor would instruct that construction activities cease
30 until it could be determined that the animal had moved beyond the impact zone radius, either
31 through sighting or by waiting until enough time has elapsed (approximately 15 minutes) to
32 assume that the animal has moved beyond the impact zone.
- 33

33

34 **9.11 CULTURAL RESOURCES**

35 **9.11.1 Archeological Resources**

36 If unanticipated archeological deposits are encountered during construction, work in the immediate
37 area will cease, and TxDOT archeological staff will be contacted to initiate post-review discovery
38 procedures under the provisions of the PA-TU and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between
39 TxDOT and Texas Historical Commission (THC).

40

1 **9.11.2 Historic Resources**

2 TxDOT will develop educational materials in tandem with programmatic mitigation efforts for post-
3 World War II bridges currently under development among TxDOT, the THC, FHWA and the Historic
4 Bridge Foundation. The public education campaign would focus on the significance of the Harbor Bridge
5 and the six adjacent concrete bridges. Elements to include would be:
6

- 7 • The Harbor Bridge's cantilevered tied-arch truss design, considered the pinnacle of Texas metal
8 truss bridge construction;
- 9 • The early use of prestressed concrete beams as approach spans for the Harbor Bridge;
- 10 • The early use of neoprene pads as bearings for prestressed concrete beams, present on several
11 of the NRHP-eligible concrete bridges;
- 12 • The early example of a multi-level interchange, relating to the bridges at the US 181/I-37/SS 544
13 interchange south of the Harbor Bridge; and,
- 14 • Aspects of the careers of Robert L. Reed and James R. Graves, both identified as significant Texas
15 Highway Department bridge engineers who were influential in the early development and use of
16 prestressed concrete on Texas bridges.
17

18 **9.12 SECTION 4(F)**

19 The Red Alternative would require the use Section 4(f) properties, including the Harbor Bridge system,
20 T.C. Ayers Park, and Lovenskiold Park. FHWA will make its Section 4(f) approval, under 23 CFR 774.3(a),
21 as part of the Final EIS. Mitigation commitments for the use of Section 4(f) properties will be finalized
22 following coordination with the City of Corpus Christi and the Texas Historical Commission (officials with
23 jurisdiction).
24

25 **9.13 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

26 Any unanticipated hazardous materials and/or petroleum contamination encountered during
27 construction will be handled according to applicable local, state, and federal regulations and TxDOT
28 Standard Specifications and Guidelines for handling emergency discovery of hazardous materials.
29

30 Activities associated with the use and storage of hazardous materials during construction will be
31 required to conform to TxDOT standards for spill containment and control strategies.
32

33 Asbestos issues during structure demolition will be addressed during right of way acquisition prior to
34 construction and applicable asbestos inspections, specification, notification, license, accreditation,
35 abatement, and disposal, would be in compliance with federal, state and local regulations.
36

37 Asbestos may also be encountered during demolition and/or renovation of existing bridge structures.
38 The *Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) Notification Rules* (25 TAC 295.61) state that
39 bridge structures must be inspected by a licensed asbestos inspector prior to demolition or renovation.
40 If asbestos-containing materials above EPA thresholds would be disturbed during construction or

1 renovation, DSHS must be notified at least ten days prior to these activities using the *DSHS Asbestos*
2 *Demolition/Renovation Notification Form*.

3
4 If oil/gas well-related contamination is encountered during construction, any necessary remediation will
5 be conducted prior to continuation of construction activities. If a well is encountered and damaged
6 during construction, the responsible party would be required to correct the damage and remediate
7 contamination resulting from the damage.

8
9 If hazardous materials are unexpectedly encountered within the soil or groundwater during
10 construction, appropriate assessment, remediation and management would be conducted in
11 accordance with federal and state regulations.

12 13 **9.14 ENERGY REQUIREMENTS**

14 The contractor will consider implementing the following energy conservation measures during
15 construction:

- 16
- 17 • Reusing and recycling of construction materials;
- 18 • Maximizing the use of local materials to reduce hauling;
- 19 • Carpooling of workers to and from the jobsite;
- 20 • Regular maintenance of equipment to ensure proper working order;
- 21 • Reducing energy consumption by turning off equipment and vehicles when not in use;
- 22 • Minimizing stops and delays by efficient routing of trucks to and from the jobsite and utilizing
23 off-peak travel times to maximize fuel efficiency;
- 24 • Minimizing the need for artificial lighting by scheduling construction during daytime hours to the
25 extent practicable; and
- 26 • Implementing maintenance of traffic plan in a manner that minimizes lengthy detours or delays
27 for motorists.
- 28

29 **9.15 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS**

30 Maintenance of traffic plans would be implemented to address user impacts including work-zone safety
31 and traffic delays.

32
33 The contractor will be required to prepare a demolition plan acknowledging the commitment to
34 avoidance and minimization of impacts noted in **Section 4.22** of the Draft EIS.

1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK